A Study in Construction Delays of Residential Structures

Ansari Hassaan¹, Fakih Hamza², Manjrekar Nikhil³, Sunasra Sufyan⁴

^{1,2,3,4}(Civil Department, M.H. Saboo Siddik College of Engineering/Mumbai University, Maharashtra, India)

Abstract: Delays are one of the most common problem faced by the construction industry in India and around the world. Construction delays can be caused by several reasons which can be related to owner, design, labor or could be caused by some external factors such as bad weather. The delay in construction causes time overrun which implies that the project requires additional time for completion than originally anticipated. Time overrun in turn leads to cost overrun which causes huge monetary losses. This study focuses on finding out the most common causes of construction delays in Indian context. The study was carried out in South and Central Mumbai region. A questionnaire based survey was conducted based on 43 questions spread across 9 different categories. The findings were evaluated with Relative Importance Index technique. The aim of the study was to identify various causes of delays in construction and their importance.

Keywords: Time Overrun, Cost Overrun, Relative Importance Index, Construction Delays, Construction Industry.

I. INTRODUCTION

The construction industry is the second largest industry in India after agriculture. It makes a significant contribution to the national economy and provides employment to large number of people. However, the construction industry is volatile and requires massive financial investment. Typically, the work offers low rate of returns in relation to the amount of risk involved. In construction, delay could be defined as the time overrun either beyond completion date specified in a contract, or beyond the date that the parties agreed upon for delivery of a project. Delays occur in every construction project and the significant of these delays varies considerably from project to project. Delays on the project are universal phenomenon.

1.1 Causes of Delay:

- Owner: Owner related delay caused due to reasons such as late payment and poor communications between owner and other parties.
- Contractor: Delays caused due to difficulty in financing the project by contractor, improper site management, conflicts between contractor and other parties, poor planning and scheduling of activities.
- Consultant: Delay in performing inspection, delay in reviewing and approving design documents.
- Design: Revisions in design documents, improper design and subsequent redesigning.
- Labor: Shortage of labors, unskilled workforce.
- Equipment: Shortage of equipment, low productivity and efficiency of equipment.
- Project: Contract duration is too short, legal disputes between various parties.
- Materials: Delay in material delivery, availability of materials, changes in type and specifications of materials.
- External: Delay in obtaining permits from municipalities, accidents, bad weather, changes government rules and regulations, social and cultural factors.
- 1.2 Effects of Delays:
- Time Overrun
- Cost Overrun
- Reduction in profits for contractor
- Losses for owner due to extended construction phase
- Distrust between owner and contractor
- Legal disputes between various parties
- Total Abandonment of project

II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study was based on a questionnaire survey which consisted the following steps.

- Preparation of Questionnaire
- Conducting Pilot studies
- Data Collection
- Data Processing and Analysis

2.1 Preparation of Questionnaire:

A questionnaire was designed based on object of the study i.e. finding out causes of construction delays of residential projects, finding out opinions to minimize the construction delays. The questionnaire was prepared in such a way as to get the opinions and understandings from experienced respondents. The questionnaires are classified into 2 categories:

a) Respondent Background

In this section, we try to obtain the respondents information. This questionnaire includes:

- The respondent organization
- The position of the respondent in the company
- The experience of the respondent in the construction project

b) Causes of Construction Delays

This section of questionnaire evaluates the factors that contribute to the cause of construction delays. The factors are divided into 9 groups namely:

- Owner
- Contractor
- Consultant
- Design
- Equipment
- Project
- Labours
- Materials
- External factors

The questionnaire is based on Likert's scale of 5 ordinal measures from 1 to 5 according to the contribution

- (5) = Very High Effective
- (4) = High Effective
- (3) = Effective
- (2) = Low Effective
- (1) = Very Low Effective

The Questionnaire Consisted of 43 questions divided into 9 categories mentioned above.

| Table 1 | | | | | | | |
|---------|------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|
| NO | Group | Cause of delay | Very high effective | High effective | Effective | Low effective | Very low effective |
| 1 | Owner | Delay in progress payments by owner | | | | | |
| 2 | Owner | Late in revising and approving design documents by owner | | | | | |
| 3 | Owner | Poor communication and coordination by owner and other parties | | | | | |
| 4 | Owner | Unavailability of incentives for contractor | | | | | |
| 5 | Owner | Suspension of work by owner | | | | | |
| 6 | Contractor | Difficulties in financing project by contractor | | | | | |
| 7 | Contractor | Poor site management and supervision by contractor | | | | | |
| 8 | Contractor | Poor communication and coordination by contractor with | | | | | |

| | | other parties. | | | | | |
|----------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 9 | Contractor | Delay in site mobilization | | | | | |
| 10 | Contractor | Rework due to errors during construction | | | | | |
| 11 | Contractor | Conflicts b/w contractor and | | | | | |
| 12 | Contractor | other parties Ineffective planning and | | | | | |
| 12 | Contractor | scheduling of project by | | | | | |
| 13 | Contractor | contractor Improper construction methods | | | | | |
| 15 | Contractor | implemented by contractor | | | | | |
| 14 | Contractor | Inadequate contractor's work | | | | | |
| 15 | Consultant | Inadequate experience of | | | | | |
| 16 | C li i | consultant | | | | | |
| 16 | Consultant | Delay in performing inspection and testing by consultant | | | | | |
| 17 | Consultant | Delay in approving major | | | | | |
| - / | Constitute | changes in the scope of work by | | | | | |
| | | consultant | | | | | |
| 18 | Consultant | Late in reviewing and | | | | | |
| | | approving design documents by consultant | | | | | |
| 19 | Design | Revisions in design documents | | | | | |
| 20 | Design | Delays in producing design | | | | | |
| | | documents | | | | | |
| 21 | Design | Misunderstanding of owner's | | | | | |
| 22 | Labor | requirements by architect | | | | | |
| 22 23 | Labor | Shortage of labors Unskilled workforce | | | | | |
| 23 | Equipment | Shortage of equipment | | | | | |
| 25 | Equipment | Low level of equipment- | | | | | |
| | | operator's skill | | | | | |
| 26 | Equipment | Low productivity and efficiency of equipment | | | | | |
| 27 | Project | Ineffective delay penalties | | | | | |
| 28 | Project | Original planned contract duration is unrealistic | | | | | |
| 29 | Project | Disputes between various parties | | | | | |
| 30 | Materials | Changes in material types and | | | | | |
| | | specifications during | | | | | |
| 21 | | construction | | | | | |
| 31 32 | Materials Materials | Delay in material delivery Housekeeping of materials | | | | | |
| 33 | Materials | Delay in | | | | | |
| 55 | WhiteHuis | manufacturing/processing | | | | | |
| | | special building | | | | | |
| 2.4 | | materials | ļ | | | | |
| 34 | Materials | Late in selection of finishing materials due to | | | | | |
| | | availability of many types in | | | | | |
| | | market | | | | | |
| 35 | External | Delay in obtaining permissions from municipality | | | | | |
| 36 | External | Rain effect on construction activities | | | | | |
| 37 | External | Effect of social and cultural | | | | 1 | |
| | | factors | | | | | |
| 38 | External | Traffic control and restriction at job site (Job layout) | | | | | |
| 39 | External | Accident during construction | | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 40 | External | Differing site (ground) | | | | | |
| 41 | | conditions | | | | | |
| 41 | External | Changes in government | | | | | |

| | | regulations and laws | | | |
|----|----------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 42 | External | Delay in providing services | | | |
| | | from utilities (such as water, | | | |
| | | electricity) | | | |
| 43 | External | Delay in performing final | | | |
| | | inspection and certification by a | | | |
| | | third party | | | |

2.2 Conducting Pilot studies:

The main goal of this was to eliminate misunderstanding the questions that were mentioned in the questionnaire. Every respondent was asked about each and every question's meaning in order to ensure that there was no confusions with the questions. Further they were asked on their opinions on the questions and wordings of the question and their importance with respect to our study thereby eliminating questions which held no significance. This also allowed us to simplify the questions as it would be very important when the questionnaire would be mailed to respondents which we could not personally interview thus minimizing the confusion and getting more accurate results.

2.3 Data Collection:

The Data Collection for our study was done in two ways:

- a) Personal Interviews
- b) Mailing Questionnaire
- a) Personal Interviews

An appointment was taken with Project Managers, Consultants, Site Engineers & Owners (Developers). And the questionnaire was presented in order to get our data.

b) Mailing Questionnaire

In the case of being unable to visit Respondents we mailed them soft copies of questionnaire which was filled up by them and mailed back to us.

The table below gives us the details of the respondents such as positions held by them in the organization and no of years of experience.

| | Table 2 | |
|----------|--------------------|-----------------|
| SITE NO. | POSTION HELD | NO. OF YEARS OF |
| | | EXPERIENCE |
| SITE 1 | SITE ENGINEER | 2 YEARS |
| SITE 2 | PROJECT MANAGER | 11 YEARS |
| SITE 3 | SENIOR ENGINEER | 7 YEARS |
| SITE 4 | ASSISTANT DIRECTOR | 6 YEARS |
| SITE 5 | SITE ENGINEER | 2 YEARS |
| SITE 6 | SENIOR ENGINEER | 6 YEARS |
| SITE 7 | SITE ENGINEER | 6 YEARS |
| SITE 8 | OWNER | 10 YEARS |
| SITE 9 | SITE ENGINEER | 2 YEARS |
| SITE 10 | QUANTITY SURVEYOR | 2 YEARS |
| SITE 11 | DESIGN ENGINEER | 7 YEARS |
| SITE 12 | PROJECT ENGINEER | 8 YEARS |
| SITE 13 | SITE ENGINEER | 2 YEARS |
| SITE 14 | SENIOR ENGINEER | 7 YEARS |

2.4 Data Processing and Analysis:

The study utilized method to analyze the respondent's data to identify the critical attributes among the categories owner, contractor, consultant, design, labor, equipment, project, materials and external. The analysis and processing of the data was done using Relative Importance Index technique.

2.4.1 Relative Importance Index

Relative index has been widely used in construction research for measuring attributes with respect to surveyed variables. Likert's scaling was used for ranking questions that have an agreement levels. The respondents were required to rate the importance of each factor on a 5-point Likert's scale using:

(5) = Very High Effective

(4) = High Effective

(3) = Effective(2) = Low Effective

(1) =Very Low Effective

Then the relative importance index was computed using the following formula: $RII = \frac{\sum W}{A * N} = \frac{5n_5 + 4n_4 + 3n_3 + 2n_2 + 1n_1}{5 * N}$

Where, "W" is the weighting given to each factor by the respondent, ranging from 1 to 5, $(n_1 = number of respondent for very low effective, <math>n_2 = number of respondent for low effective, <math>n_3 = number of respondent for effective, n_4 = number of respondent for high effective, <math>n_5 = number of respondent for very high effective)$. "A" is the highest weight (i.e. 5 in the study) and "N" is the total number of samples. The relative importance index ranges from 0 to 1.

III. CONCLUSION

From the data collected by us during the questionnaire survey we found that:

- The most important cause of construction delay of residential structures occurs due to the owner related causes. Out of all owner related causes, the respondents cited that the most important cause of delay was due to late payment by owners. The least cause of delay in this category was due to incentives for contractors.
- The second most important cause of delay was design related with misunderstanding of owner's requirement by design engineer as the most important in the category. The other two causes that is revision in design documents and delays in producing design documents were equally important but were ranked below in the second place within the category.
- Third ranked category was of external causes with delay in obtaining permits from municipality as the highest rated cause and accidents during construction as the least important cause of delay.
- Coming in at number four was Labor category with shortage of labors as the most important cause and unskilled workforce as the least important cause of delay.
- Number fifth category was Contractor with difficulty in financing project by contractor as the most important reason and delay due to site mobilization was rated as the least cause of delay.
- Sixth and Seventh place was a tie between Consultant and Project category with late in reviewing and approving design documents by consultant as the most important cause and delay in performing inspection and testing by consultant as least important for Consultant category and in the Project category, legal disputes between various parts as the most important cause and ineffective delay penalties as the least cause of delay.
- Eight place goes to Equipment category with shortage of equipment as the most important cause. The other causes in this category received equal ratings.
- The least important category according to respondents was Material category with delay due to manufacturing of special materials as most important cause and changes in material types and specifications during construction as least important cause.

| Table 3 | | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Category | Relative Importance Index (Category Average) | | | |
| Owner | 0.677 | | | |
| Contractor | 0.603 | | | |
| Consultant | 0.571 | | | |
| Design | 0.667 | | | |
| Labor | 0.621 | | | |
| Equipment | 0.566 | | | |
| Project | 0.571 | | | |
| Materials | 0.554 | | | |
| External | 0.66 | | | |



Fig. 1

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We sincerely appreciate Dr. Shagufta Sayed for giving us an opportunity to work on this topic. We are grateful for her support and guidance which has helped us to expand our horizon of thoughts and experience.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Megha, Desai, and Bhatt Rajiv. "A methodology for ranking of causes of delay for residential construction projects in Indian context." *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering* 3.3 (2013): 396-404.
- [2]. Rathod, Aradhana, and S. Pimplikar. "Delays In Residential Building Construction." *International Journal of Innovative Research and Development*// *ISSN 2278–0211 2.5* (2013).
- [3]. Rao, Prakash B., and Culas Joseph Camron. "Causes of Delays in Construction Projects-A case study." *International Journal of Current Research* 6.6 (2014): 7219-7222.
- [4]. Thomas, Anu V., and J. Sudhakumar. "Factors influencing construction labour productivity: an Indian case study." *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries* 19.1 (2014): 53.
- [5]. Chaphalkar, Nitin Balkrishna, and K. C. Iyer. "Factors influencing decisions on delay claims in construction contracts for Indian scenario." *Construction Economics and Building* 14.1 (2014): 32-44.
- [6]. Mustapha, F. H., and S. Naoum. "Factors influencing the effectiveness of construction site managers." *International Journal of Project Management* 16.1 (1998): 1-8.
- [7]. Fellows, Richard F., and Anita MM Liu. Research methods for construction. John Wiley & Sons, 2015.