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Abstract: Commercially available and organically modified calcium carbonate-natural rubber (NR) composites have been prepared through Brabender mixture. All the composites were found to have higher modulus and tensile strength than the gum vulcanizates. Modified filler-composite was found to have increased modulus over a certain (200%) deformation with respect to unmodified filler composite. The effect of different weight percentages of calcium carbonate loading in NR composites was studied. The term ‘E-inequality’ means Mooney-Rivlin material model assumes that C₁ > 0 and C₂ ≤ 0. Present investigation shows that the above assumption should be relaxed for the behavior of calcium carbonate-NR composite under tension. The stress-strain behavior at low, moderate and high strains is not identical. Therefore, different mechanisms need to be implemented for the rubber vulcanizates at different strain modes. According to molecular concept, this behavior is attributed to strain-induced changes in network topology (such as entanglements). Morphology study reveals that morphology change may take place during mixing and compression molding. The untreated CaCO₃ particles adsorb NR chain to form sandbag of CaCO₃ embedded in NR by mechanical shear forces and highly dispersed structured of PMMA modified CaCO₃ in composites.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Component like filler in the recipe of elastomeric compound is of great interest as it forms a large family of desirable materials. Behavior of filler depends on many factors as size, aspect ratio, agglomeration affinity and dispersion – distribution ability in the elastomeric matrix. Modification of the filler particles changes almost all the above characteristics in comparison to the virgin one. The elastic behavior of vulcanized rubber may be taken as a quantitative basis for evaluating the effect of filler on stiffness and strength[1]. The methodology for the analysis of elastic behavior is two fold: (i) statistical or kinetic theory from some idealized theoretical models of elastomer’s structure, and (ii) the phonological theory from the perspective of continuum mechanics[2]. The methodology as if modified Mooney-Rivlin material model equation for the mechanical behavior analysis of rubber-like materials in finite elasticity may be proposed to describe the behavior of material nonlinearities[3]. In the present study, the comparisons between whiting (calcium carbonate) and poly (methyl methacrylate) (PMMA, oligomer) modified whiting have been investigated in terms of filler loading and oligomer modification. A scanning electron micrograph (SEM) morphology study reveals the fracture surface of both types of filler vulcanizates.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Encapsulation of whiting (CaCO₃) with PMMA oligomer:
The encapsulation of whiting (CaCO₃) with PMMA oligomer was done using dispersion polymerization process[4,5].

Materials

Whiting, in the form of fine powder, was provided by Chemical and Mineral Industries Pvt. Ltd., Rajasthan, India. Its specification was: specific gravity 2.60, bulk density (gm/ml) 1.10, D.O.P absorption (g/100g) 25, moisture content 0.07, silica (SiO₂) 0.02, iron oxide (Fe₂O₃) 0.01, alumina (Al₂O₃) 0.05, calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) 98.5, fineness 99.9% (through B.S. sieve 410 mesh). Reagent grade chemicals were used as such. Methyl methacrylate, ammonium persulfate and toluene (all A.R. grades) were procured from standard sources. Sodium salt of polyacrylic acid (Aldrich) was used as dispersing agent.
2.2 Filler-Rubber composite preparation

**Raw Materials**
The natural rubber (Mooney viscosity, ML$_{1+4}$ 72 at 100°C) was obtained from Kerala Rubber Board, India. Zinc oxide (99%), stearic acid, BSM, IPPD and sulfur were procured from local market. Raw whiting (commercial) and modified whiting were used. The formulation of the rubber composites and their designation are shown in Table 1.

**Methods**
All mixes were prepared using a Brabender machine (Plastograph Electronical Torque Rheometer type 815606, Germany) according to ASTM D-3192, working at 70°C with a rotor speed of 60 rpm, 5 min for cold mastication, and another 15 min for mixing of the ingredients except sulfur. Finally, sulfur was mixed on a laboratory size two-roll mill (30 cm x 15cm) following ASTM D-3185-88. The compound was taken out in sheet form and tested with a Monsanto ODR 100 instrument to obtain optimum cure time at curing temperature of 150°C with a micro die and 3° arc of oscillation. Cross-linking or curing of the compounded samples was carried out in hot press at 150°C.

2.3 Testing and Characterization

**Tensile Test**
Tensile stress-strain properties were carried out according to ISO-37 using dumb-bell specimens at 25±2°C. Test samples were punched from the vulcanized sheets parallel to the grain directions using a dumb-bell die (9C-type). Thickness of the sample was measured by bench thickness gauge. Samples were tested in an Universal testing machine (UTM LR 10K PLUS, LLOYD Instrument Ltd., Hemetek Techno Instrument, Inc.) at a crosshead speed of 50 cm/min. Modulus (load at 300% elongation) was recorded and elongation at break was measured.

**Swelling characteristics and crosslink density measurement**
For each vulcanized sheets, the value of $M_e$ (mean chain segment molecular weight) was calculated by measuring equilibrium-swelling volume in benzene and with the help of quantitative expression of the Flory – Rehner equation$^6$,

$$\rho \frac{V_s}{V_r^{\frac{3}{5}}} / M_e = - \left[ \ln (1 - V_e) + V_e + \chi V_e^2 \right]$$

(1)

where $\rho$, density of rubber; $V_s$, molar volume of solvent; $V_r$, volume fraction of rubber in swollen gel; $\chi$, interaction parameter for rubber-solvent system. $1 / M_e$ is the crosslink density of the vulcanizate.

**Scanning electron microscopy**
The phase morphology of CaCO$_3$-filled NR composites was studied through scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The examination was performed with a Scanning electron microscope S3400N (Hitachi, Japan) at 15 and 5 kV, and the specimens were coated with a thin layer of gold before SEM examination.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Stress- strain curve
Formulations of the composite mixes are given in Table 1. Sample S$_0$ (gum), two raw (untreated) filler loaded rubber composite samples and three treated (PMMA coated) filler loaded rubber composite samples were cured at 150°C. Stress-strain graphs for composites (Figure 1) reveal that slope of the initial portion increases with filler loading. In addition, the slope of the ending portion of gum (S$_0$ vulcanizate) is highest in comparison. This is for easy chain alignment possibility in the gum vulcanizate by orientation of the network structure in the direction of stretching by the applied force. Initial and ending portions of each graph indicate modulus and ultimate tensile strength respectively.

### 3.2 Tensile strength properties
The data for variation of tensile strength properties (modulus, elongation and others) for gum and the various composites are given in Table 2. The tensile properties of various composites are plotted against the weight percentage of CaCO$_3$ content (ignoring very small PMMA amount) in Figure 2 for better understanding of the effect of treated and untreated filler in the mix. The results for gum compound have shown in Figure 2 for comparison. The percentage variations of various properties have been furnished in Table 3. It can be seen that the tensile strength is improved with increasing CaCO$_3$ filler incorporation. The results showed that the modified CaCO$_3$filled composite, S$_3$ (10 phr coated filler loaded), can strengthen NR composite. The reason should be that CaCO$_3$ particles (coated with polymer) can be well distributed in matrix. The T.S. value increases from 4.3 MPa to 5.04 MPa (at 10 phr coated filler loaded composite, S$_3$). This may be the results of surface treatment (encapsulation) of filler tends to meet the law of minimum total free energy (the values of
surface tension of CaCO$_3$ at 25°C and CaCO$_3$-PMA at 25°C are 158.3 and 47.1 mJ/m$^2$ respectively. After surface modification, the surface tension (γ) of CaCO$_3$ particles is markedly decreased, which means the surface free energy of CaCO$_3$ are greatly decreased. This trends to decrease aggregation of CaCO$_3$ particles to improve dispersion of CaCO$_3$ particles in the polymer and compatibility between CaCO$_3$ particles and polymer).

When more than 10phr modified-CaCO$_3$ fillers are filled, encapsulated fillers are close enough, they form aggregates and creates difficulty in uniform dispersity. It induces a local stress concentration inside the composites. As a result, the rubber composite containing higher amounts of modified-CaCO$_3$ deform in a brittle manner and have relatively lower tensile strength during tensile deformation. Generally, elongations at break have been found to diminish with loading of filler. In the present work, PMMA coated CaCO$_3$–loaded composites show comparable trend except $S_1$ (the elongation at break of 10phr modified-CaCO$_3$ filler increases from 691% to 772%, which indicates that 10phr modified-CaCO$_3$ filler particles can toughen the composite). Adequate dispersion of filler particles lubricated by the PMMA oligomer, increases stress concentration center remarkably to improve the elongation at break of $S_2$. But the critical concentration of the modified filler is about 10phr, when the highest elongation at break was achieved. The function of PMMA in the coated structure seems to be limited if the PMMA/ CaCO$_3$ adhesion is not strong enough. Another interesting phenomenon lies in that the surface modification of CaCO$_3$ also has a pronounced influence on the modulus values of the composites. The results show higher modulus values for both types of filler composites. Because the affinity of CaCO$_3$ towards the rubber matrix is high, moreover by treating CaCO$_3$ with PMMA, there are energetically elastic CaCO$_3$ network within the NR matrix. The percentage of different types of cross-linking bonds in coated filler composites may be the cause for initial increase in modulus$^{[7,8]}$.

3.3 Analysis of deformation characteristics at various extensions

For further understanding of filler characteristics, modulus values at various extensions have been analyzed. In this attempt to compare the PMMA coating influence, the ratio $R_t$ of vulcanizate modulus is used. Here, $R_t$ is the ratio of modulus at given elongations of the filled vulcanizate to that of unfilled (gum) vulcanizate. $R_t$ value is plotted as a volume fraction of filler$^{[9]}$ and shown in Figure 3. It is clear that the $R_t$ value of 40 phr CaCO$_3$ loaded composite ($S_2$) increases drastically. This increase seems to be related to filler-filler and filler rubber interactions$^{[10]}$. The mechanism involved should be studied further. At least, an elastomer encapsulation with high affinity to the CaCO$_3$ particles inclusions would favor the absorption of energy.

The identification of the effect of filler modification on strength properties is very difficult because rubber is cross-linked in a random manner. For lightly reinforced filler–rubber composite, Smallwood’s theoretical expression$^{[11]}$ relating to elastic modulus is shown in equation (2). For high concentration of reinforcing filler, Koutou and Spathis$^{[12]}$ proposed a theoretical expression as equation (3).

\[
M_t = M_0 (1 + 2.5f) 
\]

(2)

Modified equation, $M_t = M_0 (1 + 2.5f + 14.1f^2)$$^{[13]}$

\[
M_t = M_0 (1+0.67f +1.62f^2) 
\]

(3)

where $M_0$, $M_t$ are modulus of filled and unfilled vulcanizates respectively, and $\Phi$, $f$ are volume concentration and shape factor of the filler respectively.

Fitting of the experimental values to these equations is very difficult and ambiguous for various reasons. Therefore, Mooney-Rivlin material model has been adopted.

3.4 Mooney-Rivlin material model

The non-equilibrium stress-strain data are fitted to the Mooney-Rivlin-Saunders equation$^{[13]}$. It is a hyper elastic material model, also called polynomial hyper elastic model$^{[14]}$.

The equation:

\[
F[A_0 (\lambda^{-2} - 1)]^{-1} = 2C_1 + 2C_2 \lambda^{-1} 
\]

(4)

where $F$ is the force required to stretch a vulcanizate sample of unstrained cross-sectional area $A_0$ at an elongation ratio $\lambda$ and $\lambda$ is defined as $1 + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon$ is the strain produced by stress $\sigma$. $C_1$ is a constant pertaining to ideal elastic behavior. It is related to number average molecular weight of rubber before cross-linking ($\overline{M_r}$) and average molecular weights between cross-links ($\overline{M_c}$). The term $C_2$ is a constant, which indicates departures from ideal elastic behavior. At sufficiently high degree of swelling of vulcanizate, $C_2$ will be zero. Therefore, it is accepted that $C_1$ is a perfect network parameter but $C_2$ gives indication about achievement of equilibrium. The Mooney-Rivlin equation can be viewed as an extension of the neo-Hookean form. It includes a term that depends on the second invariant of the left Cauchy-Green tensor. In many cases, it will provide a more perfect in shape to the investigational information than the neo- Hookean mode. The above expression is a linear form
like, \( y = mx + b \), where \( m \) is the slope indicating second Mooney-Rivlin constant \( C_2 \), and \( b \) the intercept, giving first Mooney-Rivlin constant \( C_1 \).

In the present investigation, we looked at the procedure for determining the Mooney-Rivlin constants from simple tensile test data of CaCO\(_3\) filled NR vulcanizates at three (low, moderate and high) deformations. All the experimental stress-strain curves, \( (F/A_0) / (\lambda - \lambda^{-2}) \) versus \( 1/\lambda \), are shown in Figures 4-5. We used Origin 6.0 professional software for this plot. It is clear from Figure 4 that all the curves show significant upturn at higher elongations, i.e. with the increase of \( 1/\lambda \) (high to low elongation), the ordinate values decrease initially, reaching a minimum, and increasing finally. It is clear that Mooney-Rivlin is not valid for all range of strains. The deviations are clearly noticeable if stress-strain nature is compared for different modes of deformation. In Table 4, values of Mooney parameters \( 2C_1 \) and \( 2C_2 \) of the CaCO\(_3\)-NR composites are presented. Gum vulcanize (\( S_0 \)) is evaluated for comparison. It is generally accepted that \( C_1 > 0 \) and \( C_2 \leq 0 \), which is known as E-inequalities. It is established on the postulation of the free energy function, which is positive for any deformation. The experimental facts for rubber-like substances are in line with the above\(^{[15-17]}\). The results of \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) (Table 4) show that the behavior of \( S_0 \) and \( S_4 \) composites at low (0-120% strain) and moderate (121-400% strain) stretch ratios and \( S_0 \), \( S_1 \), \( S_4 \) and \( S_5 \) composites at high (>400% strain) stretch ratio, perfectly support the general postulation. The other results where \( C_1 \) values are negative and \( C_2 \) values are positive are not agreeable with the general postulation. Liu showed that \( C_2 \) need not be negative for isothermal uniaxial compressive stress-strain experiment (curves are increasing and concave upward)\(^{[15]}\). The reason is the locking behavior (the material turns harder and harder to contact as the compressive strain increases) under confined compression. According to Liu’s thermodynamic stability analysis, it follows that

\[
\frac{C_2}{C_1} < \frac{1}{3}(2\lambda + \lambda^2)
\]

(5)

Clearly, this is a limit for possible values of the parameters \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \). Especially, for \( \lambda = 1 \), the above expression gives \( C_1 \geq C_2 \). It means that this condition is always fulfilled for uniaxial extension, \( \lambda > 1 \). However, no such results were observed in the literature, which supports these experimental findings under uniaxial tension. Therefore, it seems that the results (\( S_0 \), \( S_1 \), \( S_2 \) and \( S_3 \) composites at high (>400% strain) stretch ratio, Table 4) do not satisfy the E-inequalities in Mooney-Rivlin model, even though Liu concluded “it is not necessary to require that the material parameter \( C_2 \) be negative as proposed by the E-inequalities, but rather only \( C_2 < C_1 \).” The most of the \( C_2 \) values in the Table 4 should remain a subject of debate tomorrow\(^{[18]}\). Yet it is an independent parameter associated with a failure to achieve equilibrium in swelling. At moderate strain, there is a trend that the constant \( C_1 \) increases with increasing filler loading. As \( C_1 \) value indicates the number of network chains per unit volume (crosslink density) according to rubber elasticity theories, moderate and high strain data suggest homogeneous composites formed in all the PMMA coated CaCO\(_3\) filled NR vulcanizates.

To investigate the validity of Mooney-Rivlin model for the present experimental data of \( S_0 \) and \( S_1 \) vulcanizates at various strains (different \( C_1 \) values), a plot of \( \sigma C_1 \) versus \( \epsilon \) is shown in Figure 6. The decreasing behavior in this uniaxial tension experiment clearly indicates that the model is valid up to 400% strain for \( S_0 \) but for almost all strain for \( S_1 \). The result (for \( S_1 \), Table 4) is interesting technologically. In these results \( C_2/C_1 \) is negative i.e. \( < 0 \). Other ratios of \( C_2/C_1 \) presented in the Table 4 give no clear indication about the attachment between NR and CaCO\(_3\) particles and the interface formation, if any, to the composite network structure.

### 3.5 Interpretation of stress-strain behavior of the CaCO\(_3\) filled NR Vulcanizates samples according to molecular concept

The prime assumption in this concept is that the molecular chains obey Gaussian statistics. It is then established that the free energy of deformation per unit volume of rubber (strain energy density, \( W \)) is in the form

\[
W = \frac{1}{2} N k T (\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2} + \lambda^{-3} - 3)
\]

(6)

where \( N \), \( k \), \( T \) and \( (\lambda_0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \) are crosslink density (number of net work chains per unit volume), Boltzmann’s constant, absolute temperature and principle extension (stretch) ratios respectively. For simple extension, \( \lambda_1 = \lambda \) and \( \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_{1/2} \) and the empirical relation from kinetic theory of rubber-like elasticity is,

\[
W = \frac{1}{2} N k T [\lambda^2 + 2\lambda^{-1/2} - 3]
\]

\[
W = \frac{1}{2} N k T (\lambda^2 + 2 \lambda^{-1/2} - 3)
\]

Therefore, stress-strain relation will be

\[
\frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda} = \sigma = N k T (\lambda - \lambda^{-2})
\]

(7)
where $\sigma$ is the normal tensile strength. Hence, $[\sigma / (\lambda^2 \lambda')]$ should be constant. However, in practice, it is not constant; it falls as $\lambda$ increases. For simple extensions, the load deformation relation can be expressed for a homogeneous, isotropic and incompressible elastic sample. The relation is

$$W = C_{10} (I_1 - 3) + C_{01} (I_2 - 3)$$

where $I_1$ and $I_2$ are the two functions of strain invariants of the Green deformation tensor, viz.

$$I_1 = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2$$

and $I_2 = \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_2^2 \lambda_3^2 + \lambda_3^2 \lambda_1^2$.

In simple extension, the values are

$$I_1 = \lambda^8 + 2/\lambda$$

By differentiation of the stress-strain relations (Equation 9) involving partial derivatives, the relation is

$$\sigma = \frac{F}{A_0} = 2 \left[ \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_1} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_2} \lambda^{-1} \right] (\lambda - \lambda^{-2})$$

where $F$ is the force to produce the simple extension ratio $\lambda$.

Again, $A_0 = \text{thickness (h)} \times \text{width (B)}$. So

$$F/B = 2h \left( \lambda - \lambda^{-2} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_1} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_2} \lambda^{-1} \right]$$

Or, $L/2h \left( \lambda - \lambda^{-2} \right) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_1} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_2} \lambda^{-1}$

where $L$ is the load per unit width. By plotting $L/2h (\lambda - \lambda^{-2})$ versus $\lambda^{-1}$, the dependence of $[\frac{\partial W}{\partial I_1} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_2} \lambda^{-1}]$ on $1/\lambda$ could be understood.

The values of $L/2h (\lambda - \lambda^{-2})$ and $\lambda^{-1}$ were calculated for CaCO$_3$-NR composite vulcanizates and the results are shown in Figure 7. The plots show that the general trend in each case of each composite is same. The plots indicate that the value of $\left[ \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_1} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_2} \lambda^{-1} \right]$ reaches a minimum in each of the CaCO$_3$-NR composites.

With increase of $1/\lambda$ (1/ elongation ratio), the value of $\left[ \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_1} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_2} \lambda^{-1} \right]$ increases after the minimum. However, the modulus value of the composite increases with the increase of $\left[ \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_1} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial I_2} \lambda^{-1} \right]$. This relationship may be due to the linearity of the curve and reversibility of the composite vulcanizates and may arise from the Gaussian character of the chains.

It may be concluded that though the molecular concept elucidates the key characteristics of rubber elasticity, unfortunately the concept is not applicable for all range of deformations. Deviations at moderate strains are explained by the theory of contribution to the energy stored in the molecular chain during stretching. Energy stored decreases as the chain is stretched and the deviations in large strains are explained by strain-induced crystallization. The explanation above is definitely suitable for Gaussian statistics but for non-Gaussian statistics, it is very difficult to conclude anything due to complexity of mathematics. Therefore, the attempt for the validity of the Mooney-Rivlin model is partially successful quantitatively with the present experimental data.

### 3.6 Morphological analysis

SEM micrographs with 1000 times magnification of the tensile fracture surfaces of NR vulcanizates filled with different amounts of unmodified CaCO$_3$, modified nano-CaCO$_3$ are shown in Fig. 8 (c-h). Figures 8 (a,b) show the SEM photographs of unmodified and modified CaCO$_3$ respectively. It is interesting to observed very distinct features in the two cases. The unmodified CaCO$_3$ shows irregular shape. Modified particle is round shaped. This is for PMMA very smooth surface embedded with some small particles. These particles are likely to be zinc oxide (ZnO). The smoothness indicates no mechanically weaker region for crack initiation. When unmodified CaCO$_3$ was filled in NR matrix, The morphology change may take place during mixing. The CaCO$_3$ particles adsorb NR chain to form sandbag of CaCO$_3$ embedded in NR by mechanical shear forces. This sandbag of CaCO$_3$ embedded in NR is called filler network or cluster (Fig.8d). This filler network may be the cause of improved physical properties of 20 and 40 phr unmodified CaCO$_3$ composites ($S_1$ and $S_2$). Generally, as the number of unmodified filler particles increases the filler particles form agglomeration in rubber matrix. In this experiment, a few not many agglomerations are found in SEM micrographs of $S_1$ and $S_2$ to be investigated, rather the formation of a shell around the filler is partially noticed. This may be the results of high affinity of NR to CaCO$_3$ particles.
Highly dispersed structure of composite vulcanizates was observed in SEM figures of PMMA modified CaCO$_3$ composites (Figures 8-f to h for S$_5$, S$_3$ and S$_2$ respectively). CaCO$_3$ as the island phase well distributed in NR as the sea phase. However, modified composites gave optimum strength properties up to 10 phr filler loading and dropped when loading was more. The reduction in modulus at higher loading was dependent on degree of dispersion and agglomeration of fillers, but the mechanism is not clear from SEM pictures (Figures 8 - f to h).

Concluding remarks

In the present study, PMMA modified calcium carbonate-filled NR vulcanizate at lower filler loading (10 phr) has shown better modulus and rubber-filler interaction compared to unmodified calcium carbonate-filled NR vulcanizates. One important feature that emerges from the present study is that the Mooney-Rivlin model is valid for gum and PMMA modified filler composite (20 phr filler loading, S$_2$), but it fails to support the behavior of other filler loaded composite vulcanizates. The results reveal that the PMMA modified CaCO$_3$-NR composites demonstrate well dispersion, less agglomeration and significant wettability of the filler in the rubber matrix, which is attributed to better modulus and other properties. Thus, it may be concluded that polymer modification of the filler enhances higher degree of dispersion in NR matrix.
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Table 1. Composition of rubber chips in six different formulas (Basic recipe of the mix samples)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>S$_0$</th>
<th>S$_1$</th>
<th>S$_2$</th>
<th>S$_3$</th>
<th>S$_4$</th>
<th>S$_5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural rubber (RMA-IX)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc oxide</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| Stearic acid | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| IPPD<sup>a</sup> | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Sulfur | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| BSM<sup>b</sup> | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Whiting<sup>c</sup> (unmodified, CC) | - | 20.0 | 40.0 | - | - |
| Whiting<sup>d</sup> (modified, PCC) | - | - | - | 10.0 | 15.0 |

| CaCO₃ weight % | 0 | 13.76 | 23.87 | 7.45 | 10.73 | 13.76 |
| Volume fraction Of CaCO₃ | 0 | 0.0643 | 0.1213 | 0.0333 | 0.0493 |

<sup>a</sup>...Isopropyl paraphenylen diamine  
<sup>b</sup>...N-Oxydiethyl benzthiazyl sulfenamide  
<sup>c</sup>...Natural calcium carbonate (CC)  
<sup>d</sup>...PMMA coated whiting (PCC)

Table 2 Sample test specification: Direction: Tension; speed: 500 mm/min; Gauge length 25 mm; Width 4.45 mm; Thickness 1.80 mm; Area 8.01 mm²

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>S₀</th>
<th>S₁</th>
<th>S₂</th>
<th>S₃</th>
<th>S₄</th>
<th>S₅</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tensile strength (MPa)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation at break (%)</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation at fracture (mm)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness (N/m)</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus at: 25% elongation (MPa)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% elongation (MPa)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% elongation (MPa)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200% elongation (MPa)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300% elongation (MPa)</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400% elongation (MPa)</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500% elongation (MPa)</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Tensile properties (change in Percentage) of the composites over gum compound vulcanizate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coated filler rubber composite</th>
<th>Uncoated filler rubber composite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile strength change%</td>
<td>17.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation at break change%</td>
<td>+11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change %: Modulus at 25% elongation</td>
<td>+1340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus at 50% elongation</td>
<td>+808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus at100% elongation</td>
<td>+318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus at 200% elongation</td>
<td>+158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus at 300% elongation</td>
<td>+112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus at 400% elongation</td>
<td>+91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus at 500% elongation</td>
<td>+34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 4: Values of Mooney-Rivlin constants ($C_1$ and $C_2$) obtained from stress-strain curves at low-middle, high, very high strain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>At low strain (0 to 120%)</th>
<th>At high strain (121 to 400%)</th>
<th>At very high strain (&gt;401%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2C_1$</td>
<td>$2C_2$</td>
<td>$C_2/C_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_0$</td>
<td>0.41699</td>
<td>-0.45328</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_1$</td>
<td>-2.04554</td>
<td>5.14080</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2$</td>
<td>-1.57790</td>
<td>5.08858</td>
<td>-3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_3$</td>
<td>-0.19776</td>
<td>1.91788</td>
<td>-9.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_4$</td>
<td>0.05856</td>
<td>1.23194</td>
<td>21.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_5$</td>
<td>0.84346</td>
<td>-0.54462</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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